

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,011	09/12/2003	Michael A. Wisniewski	061151-9008-00	6806	
23409	7590 03/02/2005		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			RIDLEY, RICHARD		
	ONSIN AVENUE EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/200	DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
\mathbb{V}		10/661,011	WISNIEWSKI, M	WISNIEWSKI, MICHAEL A.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard Ridley	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	iddress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of		r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 I</u>	February 2005. \				
·	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)[
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on <u>9-12-03</u> is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina that the specific properties of the	ccepted or b) objection of the objection is required if the objection is required in the objection is required in the objection of	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			/		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv ority documents hav au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa)).	al Stage		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pa	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date	TO 152)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-18-03</u> .	'/	otice of Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ ther:	10-192)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, fig. 2 & 3, claims 1-8, 10-31 in the 1. reply filed on 2-4-05 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "gearbox" and "first and second motors" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will Application/Control Number: 10/661,011 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the tray". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A plurality of trays are previously recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 13, 18, 19, 20, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leof USP 1,667,483. Leof discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- First and second rotatable members (146, 148)
- Motor (inherent)

Application/Control Number: 10/661,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

8. Claims 1, 10, 19, 20, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasimoto USP 5,836,737. Hasimoto discloses a similar device comprising a(n):

- > Transporting (1) a first tray to a transport position
- > Transferring (20) the tray from the transport position to a storage position
- > Supporting the tray in the storage position by two opposed rotatable members (30)
- > First and second rotatable members (33)
- 9. Claims 1, 4, 16, 17, 20, 27, 28, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. USP 4,545,714. Johnson discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- > Transporting (14) a first tray to a transport position
- > Transferring the tray from the transport position to a storage position (fig. 6)
- > Supporting the tray in the storage position by two opposed rotatable members (50, 40)
- > Transporting a second tray to the transfer position
- > Transferring the second tray from the transfer position to the storage position and nesting the first and second trays (fig. 6)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3651

11. Claims 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leof USP 1,667,483.

Leof discloses all of the claim limitations but does not explicitly disclose a gearbox couple to the motor.

To have employed the use of a gearbox would have been obvious to one have ordinary skill in the art as per the function of a gearbox which would allow and provide a means for controlling the rotation of the first and second rotatable members to a predetermined speed.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 10-12, 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,011

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 6

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley

22 February 2005

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651